

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Claims

1. Method for optimizing measurement and control of the flatness of a strip of rolled material, where a first mapping is made of the strip after passing through a mill stand,  
5      **characterized by,**  
- that a second mapping is made between measurement and control.
- 10    2. Method according to claim 1,  
      **characterized by,**  
- that a second mapping is done by associating to relevant flatness fault types a reference strip model and an actuator space conversion matrix.
- 15    3. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
      **characterized by,**  
- visualizing of the strip,  
- determining the relevant flatness fault type by comparing  
20    the visualization to one or more reference strip models,  
- choosing an associated and relevant actuator space conversion matrix,  
- morphing the visual picture with the measured information.
- 25    4. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
      **characterized by,**  
- that an enhanced mapping is made between measurement and control by an actuator correction algorithm using morphed informaton.
- 30    5. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
      **characterized by,**

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

21

- creating a set of reference strip models for known flatness fault types,
  - creating a set of space conversion matrices, which are known to correct the known flatness fault types by
  - 5 optimizing the flatness control,
  - mapping each reference strip model to its corresponding vector space conversion matrix according to the flatness fault type.
- 10 6. Method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized by,**
- selecting a reference strip model by comparing available reference strip models with the actual strip.
- 15 7. Method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized by,**
- enhancing the measured data by interpolating the reference model with measured flatness data, i.e. by using morphing.
- 20 8. Method according to any of the preceding claims, **characterized by,**
- optimizing the control with the space conversion matrix.
9. Method according to any of the preceding claims,
- 25 **characterized by,**
- converting actual strip to the visualization format used for reference strip models.
10. Method according to any of the preceding claims,
- 30 **characterized by,**
- having visual access to the strip by an operator.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

11. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
**characterized by,**  
- comparing reference strip models with actual strip  
visualization format.
- 5
12. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
**characterized by,**  
- manually tuning the automatic comparison.
- 10
13. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
**characterized by,**  
- synchronizing measured data with video samples and with  
the currently performed optimization algorithm.
- 15
14. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
**characterized by,**  
- using a morphing technique.
15. Method according to any of the preceding claims,  
20 **characterized by,**  
- adding the result of the mapping by morphing to the  
measured information from a reference model.
16. Device for optimizing measurement and control of the  
25 flatness of a strip of rolled material,  
**characterized by,**  
- means for accomplishing a mapping by associating to  
relevant flatness fault types a reference strip model and an  
actuator space conversion matrix.
- 30
17. Device according to claim 16,  
**characterized by,**

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

23

- having means for making the mapping between measurement and control.

18. Device according to claim 16 or 17,

5 **characterized by,**

- having means for making the mapping between measurement and control by an actuator correction algorithm.

19. Device according to any of the claims 16-18,

10 **characterized by,**

- means for creating a set of reference strip models for known flatness fault types,  
- means for creating a set of space conversion matrices, which are known to correct the known flatness fault types by

15 optimizing the flatness control,

- means for mapping each reference strip model to its corresponding vector space conversion matrix according to the flatness fault type.

20 20. A computer program comprising computer program code means for carrying out the steps of a method according to claim 1-15.

21. A computer readable medium comprising at least part of a  
25 computer program according to claim 19.

22. A computer program, according to claim 19, that is, at least partially, provided through a network, such as e.g. internet.